## SFNEWMEA Adjudication Form **BAND**

## Adjudicator:

- Place the number in the circle for each area which most closely matches the description in the corresponding box.
- Total the scores (whole numbers only-no half points) and affix a division rating based upon the scale at the bottom of the form.
- Please add your comments and suggestions in the large spaces provided for each piece (use the back if necessary) and sign form.

	10 9	8 7	6	5	4 3	2 1	
Tone	Excellent band Sonority  Open, Rich, Focused, full	Characteristic tone most of the time on all parts		Tone color and quality is inconsistent between			
	resonant tone on all parts	Tone color and/or quality is affected by range and Volume changes		sections Inconsistent color and quality in various ranges and volume levels		Changing volume and registers create tone quality	
	Consistent color and quality in all ranges and registers.						
Intonation	Excellent listening skills	Listening skills	llaw	Listening	skills developi	Sound of band is not pleasant  ng Listening skills undeveloped	
Intollation	Adjustments made instantly	develope		but num	erous intonationalicularis		
	within melodic and harmonic contexts	Problems in certa and/or volume difficult pass	s, or in			problems go uncorrected	
Rhythm	Nearly all rhythms/note values performed correctly	Occasional rhythn			mic accuracy is consistent	Inaccurate rhythms detract from performance	
	Tempos are accurate to printed score	Most errors are corrected			e often repeate	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		Tempos vary fron score, especially in passages	n difficult	Tempo	os inconsistent		
Balance/Blend	Excellent melodic and harmonic balance	Good balance an most of the t			balance and ble blems occur	end Little evidence or concept of balance and blend.	
	All sections/players demonstrate excellent blend to ensemble sonority	Balance and blend occur in difficult p			nes often uncle		
	,	Problems are c	<u> </u>				
Technique	Polished performance	Strong performal lapses of unifor	mity in	inconsist	echnique is ent/performan	Technique is undeveloped ce for level of difficulty	
	Articulation is accurately performed	difficult passa Very good articu			icks polish on not consiste	Minimal uniformity in	
	Flexibility and excellent musicianship is exhibited by	Flexibility and mus	sicianship	with	printed score		
Interpretation	all  Very musical, sensitive,	are generally Meaningful interp		Lack	s meaningful	Notes are performed with	
Merpretation	artistic performance	most of the t		interpret time, w	ation much of t vith problems ir	he very little meaningful	
( )	Excellent style in all sections	Style approp	riate	diffi	cult passages	Chulo in consistent	
	Excellent phrasing and use of dynamics and nuance	Good phrasing dynamics, but lack	-		me dynamics a g, but not alway		
O4h = = = = = 1	Outstanding literature	Above svers = 12	ratura for	A	musical	Little attention to phrasing and dynamics	
Other Factors	Outstanding literature for age and training	Above average lite age and train			literature for a	ge Below average or unacceptable literature	
	Professional approach  Ensemble is appropriately	Inconsistencies i and/or profess	sional		h lacks polish a fessionalism	nd Ensemble does not demonstrate approach to formal	
	attired	approach Scores provided with			mon etiquette verlooked	performance setting	
	Scores provided with numbered measures for adjudicator	numbered meas adjudicato			s not properly d for adjudicato	Individuals detract from performance	
Total Points						ı	
	Rating Computa	tion Table	_				
	70-56=Division I (Sup	· ·	S	ignature o	f Adjudicator		
Division Rating:	55-41=Division II (Exc 40-26=Division III (Go 25-11=Division IV (Fai	Good) Fair)		Signature of Festival Manager			
	10-0=Division V (Poc	or)					

Comments Piece #1	Comments Piece #2
Comments Piece #3	Comments Piece #4